09/806370 Rec'r oct/Pto 28 MAR 2001

Wyeth

Patents & Trade Marks Dept Huntercombe Lane South Taplow, Maidenhead Berkshire, SL6 0PH

Tel: +44 (0)1628 604377 Fax: +44 (0)1628 799098

DFW/aja 19 April 2000

BY FAX

The European Patent Office D-80298 MUNCHEN Germany

Dear Sirs

RE: Chapter II

International Application No PCT US99/22520

Filed 30 September 1999

American Cyanamid Company Case ACY-33383

I file herewith the Demand under Article 31 of the Patent Co-operation Treaty requesting that the International Preliminary Examination be carried out on PCT/US99/22520.

The Preliminary Examination Fee and the handling fee amounting to EUR 1681 are to be debited from our EPO deposit account number 2805.0053 in the name of Wyeth Laboratories Patent Department.

A form 1037 is enclosed with the confirmatory copy for acknowledging receipt.

Yours faithfully

Dr DF Wileman

European Patent Attorney (GA 34448)

APR 21 200 ME

PCT

FEE CALCULATION SHEET

Annex to the Demand for international preliminary examination

	For International Preliminary	Examining Authority use only -
International application No. PCT/US99/22520		
Applicant's or agent's file reference ACY-33383	Date stamp of the IPEA	
Applicant AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY et al		
Calculation of prescribed fees		
1. Preliminary examination fee	EUR 1533 P	
2. Handling fee (Applicants from certain States are entitled to a reduction of 75% of the handling fee. Where the applicant is (or all applicants are) so entitled, the amount to be entered at H is 25% of the handling fee.)	EUR 148 H	
3. Total of prescribed fees Add the amounts entered at P and H and enter total in the TOTAL box	EUR 1681	
postal money order cou	h enue stamps ipons er (specify):	
(this check-box may be marked	not be available at all IPEAs) the the total fees indicated above to my deposit only if the conditions for deposit accounts of ficiency or credit any overpayment in the	of the IPEA so permit) is hereby
2805.0053 19 April 2000 Deposit Account Number Date (day/month/yea		
Deposit Account Number Date (day/month/yea	., Signature	

The demand must be filed direc with the competent International Preliminary Exa 18 Authority or, if two or more Authorities are competent, with the one chosen by the applicant. The full name or two-letter code of that Author., may be indicated by the applicant on the line below:

IPEA/ EP

PCT

CHAPTER II

DEMAND

under Article 31 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty:

The undersigned requests that the international application specified below be the subject of international preliminary examination according to the Patent Cooperation Treaty and hereby elects all eligible States (except where otherwise indicated).

For l	International Preliminary	Examining Authority	use only
·	•	1	•
Identification of IPEA		Date of receipt of D	DEMAND
Box No. I IDENTIFICATION OF TH	E INTERNATIONAL	APPLICATION	Applicant's or agent's file reference 33383-00 PCT
International application No. PCT/US99/22520	1		(Earliest) Priority date (day/month/year) 30 September 1998 (30/09/1998)
Title of invention MUTANT CHOLERA HOLOTOXIN AS	AN ADJUVANT		
Box No. II APPLICANT(S)	······································		,
Name and address: (Family name followed	by given name; for a lo	gal entity, full official	Telephone No.:
designation. The addres AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY	s must include postal code	and name of country.)	(973) 683-2157
a corporation of Maine			Facsimile No.:
Five Giralda Farms			(973) 683-4117
Madison, New Jersey 07940		•	
United States of America			Teleprinter No.:
State (that is, country) of nationality:	· · ·	State (that is, country	y) of residence:
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA U		UNITED STATES C	OF AMERICA
Name and address: (Family name followed to	by given name; for a legal	entity, full official design	nation. The address must include postal code and
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED	STATES OF AMERIC	CA.	•
as represented by the	.		
UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSIT 4301 Jones Bridge Road		CES	
Bethesda, Maryland 20814-4799	ie.		
United States of America			
State (that is assumed of nationality		State (that is, country	of recidence:
State (that is, country) of nationality: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		UNITED STATES	
	by given name; for a legal	entity, full official design	nation. The address must include postal code and
HOLMES, Randall K.			
23371 Morning Rose Drive			
Golden, Colorado 80401			
United States of America			
State (that is, country) of nationality: State (that is, country) of residence:			
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		UNITED STATES C	OF AMERICA
Further applicants are indicated on a	continuation sheet.		

Continuation of Box No. II APPLICANT(S)

If none of the following sub-boxes is used, this sheet is not to be included in the demand.

Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and

JOBLING, Michael G.

5250 Cherry Creek Drive South, Apt. 18A

Denver, Colorado 80222 United States of America

State (that is, country) of nationality:

UNITED KINGDOM

State (that is, country) of residence: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.)

ELDRIDGE, John H.

4 Beatrice Cove Fairport, New York 14450

United States of America

State (that is, country) of nationality: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

State (that is, country) of residence: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.)

GREEN, Bruce A. 49 Northfield Gate

Pittsford, New York 14534 United States of America

State (that is, country) of nationality: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

State (that is, country) of residence: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.)

HANCOCK, Gerald E.

50 Plains Road

Honeoye Falls, New York 14472

United States of America

State (that is, country) of nationality: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

State (that is, country) of residence: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Further applicants are indicated on another continuation sheet.

International application No.

Sheet No. .3.

PCT/US99/22520

Continuation of Box No. II APPLICANT(S)			
If none of the following sub-boxes is use	d, this sheet is not to be included in the demand.		
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal of name of country.) PEEK, Joel A. 156 Railroad Mills Road Pittsford, New York 14534 United States of America	entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and .		
State (that is, country) of nationality: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	State (that is, country) of residence: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name: for a legal of name of country.)	entity:, full official designation. The address must include postal code and		
State (that is, country) of nationality:	State (that is, country) of residence:		
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.)			
State (that is, country) of nationality:	State (that is, country) of residence:		
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.)			
State (that is, country) of nationality:	State (that is, country) of residence:		
Further applicants are indicated on another continuation s	sheet.		

Sheet No. .4.

International application No. PCT/US99/22520

Box No. III AGENT OR COMMON REPRESENTATIVE; OR ADDRESS FOR CO	PRRESPONDENCE			
The following person is agent common representative				
and has been appointed earlier and represents the applicant(s) also for international	preliminary examination.			
is hereby appointed and any earlier appointment of (an) agent(s) /common rep	presentative is hereby revoked.			
is hereby appointed, specifically for the procedure before the International Pre				
addition to the agent(s)/common representative appointed earlier.				
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.)	!			
WILEMAN, David F.; CONNELLY, Michael J.; MANNION, Sally K.;	(0628) 604377			
TALBOTT, Dawn J.; WALTERS, Philip Bernard Williams	Facsimile No.:			
WYETH LABORATORIES Huntercombe Lane South	(0628) 799098			
Taplow, Maidenhead	Teleprinter No.:			
Berks SL6 0PH United Kingdom	Teleprinter ivo			
Address for correspondence: Mark this check-box where no agent or common reference above is used instead to indicate a special address to which correspond	epresentative is/has been appointed and lence should be sent.			
Box No. IV BASIS FOR INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION				
Statement concerning amendments:*				
1. The applicant wishes the international preliminary examination to start on the basis of	; _			
the international application as originally filed	•			
the description 🔀 as originally filed	:			
as amended under Article 34				
the claims as originally filed				
as amended under Article 19 (together with any accompan	iving statement)			
as amended under Article 34	,			
the drawings as originally filed				
as amended under Article 34				
2. The applicant wishes any amendment to the claims under Article 19 to be considered as reversed.				
The applicant wishes the start of the international preliminary examination to be postponed until the expiration of 20 months from the priority date unless the International Preliminary Examing Authority receives a copy of any				
amendments made under Article 19 or a notice from the applicant that he do	es not wish to make such amendments			
(Rule 69.1(d)). (This check-box may be marked only where the time limit unde	er Article 19 has not yet expired.)			
* Where no check-box is marked, international preliminary examination will start on the originally filed or, where a copy of amendments to the claims under Article 19	e basis of the international application as			
application under Article 34 are received by the International Preliminary Examining	Authority before it has begun to draw up			
a written opinion or the international preliminary examination report, as so amended.				
Language for the purposes of international preliminary examination: ENGLISH				
which is the language in which the international application was filed.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			
which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of internation.	al search.			
which is the language of publication of the international application.				
which is the language of the translation (to be) furnished for the purposes of in	ternational preliminary examination.			
Box No. V ELECTION OF STATES				
The applicant hereby elects all eligible States (that is, all States which have been designated PCT)	and which are bound by Chapter II of the			
excluding the following States which the applicant wishes not to elect:				
excluding the following States which the applicant wishes not to elect.				

			International appl	ication No.
	Sheet No5.		PCT/US	599/22520
Box No. VI CHECK LIST				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		For Internat	ional Preliminary
The demand is accompanied by the following Box No. IV, for the purposes of international	ng elements, in the languag l preliminary examination:	e referred to in	-	Authority use only
l translation of international application	:	sheets	received	not received
2. amendments under Article 34	:	sheets		
copy (or, where required, translation) of amendments under Article 19	:	sheets		
copy (or, where required, translation) of statement under Article 19	:	sheets		
5. letter	:	sheets		
6. other (specify)	: **	sheets		
The demand is also accompanied by the item(s	s) marked below:			
1. The fee calculation sheet	4.	7 statement expla	ining lack of signa	ture
	· L.	_	or amino acid sequ	
2. separate signed power of attorney	5.	computer reada	ble form	
copy of general power of attorney; reference number, if any:	6. [_	other (specify):		
Box No. VII SIGNATURE OF APPLIC	CANT, AGENT OR CO	MMON REPRE	SENTATIVE	•
Next to each signature, indicate the name of obvious from reading the demand).	the person signing and the	capacity in which	the person signs	(if such capacity is not
oorious from reading the demands.				
			-	
a a a				
am M. Hordn				
ALAN M. GORDON AGENT FOR APPLICANTS		•		
•				
For Interr	national Preliminary Examin	ing Authority use (nly —	
Date of actual receipt of DEMAND:				
Adjusted date of receipt of demand due to CORRECTIONS under Rule 60.1(b):				
3. The date of receipt of the demand from the priority date and item 4 o		19 months	The applica informed ac	
4. The date of receipt of the demand Rule 80.5.	is WITHIN the period of 19	months from the p	riority date as exter	nded by virtue of

For International Bureau use only

Demand received from IPEA on:

Although the date of receipt of the demand is after the expiration of 19 months from the priority date, the delay in arrival is EXCUSED pursuant to Rule 82.

. I ENT COOPERATION TREATY

FEB 28 2000

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

AMERICAN HOME PRODUCTS CORPORATION Attn. Gordon, Alan M. Patent Law Department -2B2 One Campus Drive

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	·
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14/02/2000
Applicant's or agent's file reference 33383-00 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US 99/ 22520	International filing date (day/month/year) 30/09/1999
Applicant	
AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY et al.	

	-							
1.	X	The appl	icant is heret	by notified that the Intern	national Search Report has	s been established and is	transmitted herewith.	
				ts and statement under ed, if he so wishes, to an	r Article 19: mend the claims of the Inte	ernational Application (see	Rule 46):	
		When?			ments is normally 2 months er, for more details, see th			
		Where?	Directly to th	International Burea 34, chemin des Col- 1211 Geneva 20. S Fascimile No.: (41-	lombettes Switzerland			
		For mor	e detailed in	structions, see the note	es on the accompanying s	heet.		
2.				by notified that no Internated here	national Search Report will prewith.	be established and that t	he declaration under	
3.		With reg	ard to the p	rotest against payment	of (an) additional fee(s) u	nder Rule 40.2, the applic	ant is notified that:	
					ereon has been transmitte of both the protest and the			
		no no	decision has	been made yet on the pr	rotest; the applicant will be	e notified as soon as a de	cision is made.	
4.	Furt	her action	n(s): The a	pplicant is reminded of t	the following:			
	If the price	né applica ority claim	int wishes to , must reach	avoid or postpone public	nternational application wi cation, a notice of withdrav u as provided in Rules 90 lational publication.	val of the international app	olication, or of the	
					for international preliminal ase until 30 months from the			
					ant must perform the preson elected in the demand o			

Name	and mai	ling a	ddress (of the li	nternat	ional S	Searching	Authority
	E.	ronos	n Pater	ot Office	A D B	5918	Datentias	n 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

priority date or could not be elected because they are not bound by Chapter II.

Nina Vercio

Authorized officer

Form PCT/ISA/220 (July 1998)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been fis filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the International application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 *Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added.*
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

it must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide

LATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
33383-00 PCT International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)		
		(Lamest) Frionty Date (bay/month/year)		
PCT/US 99/22520	30/09/1999	30/09/1998		
AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPAN	Y et al.			
according to Article 18. A copy is being	een prepared by this International Searching Aut transmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant		
This International Search Report consis	ts of a total of5 sheets. by a copy of each prior art document cited in this	s report.		
1. Basis of the report				
	e international search was carried out on the ba inless otherwise indicated under this item.	isis of the international application in the		
the international search Authority (Rule 23.1(b))	was carried out on the basis of a translation of .	the international application furnished to this		
was carried out on the basis of		nternational application, the international search		
· 📙	tional application in written form.			
filed together with the ir	iternational application in computer readable for	m		
furnished subsequently	to this Authority in written form.	·		
	to this Authority in computer readble form.			
	ubsequently furnished written sequence listing of as filed has been furnished.	does not go beyond the disclosure in the		
the statement that the in furnished	nformation recorded in computer readable form i	is identical to the written sequence listing has been		
2. X Certain claims were fo	ound unsearchable (See Box I).			
3. Unity of invention is la	acking (see Box II).			
4. With regard to the title,	•			
X the text is approved as	submitted by the applicant.			
the text has been estab	lished by this Authority to read as follows:			
5. With regard to the abstract,				
the text has been estab	submitted by the applicant. lished, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authori he date of mailing of this international search re			
6. The figure of the drawings to be pu	blished with the abstract is Figure No.			
as suggested by the ap	plicant.	None of the figures.		
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.				
because this figure better characterizes the invention.				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

..ernational application No.

PCT/US 99/22520

Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X	Claims Nos.: 17-23 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Rule 31.1 (iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human animal body by therapy
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark	on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

ation_ plication No

PCT/US 99/22520

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61K39/39 A61K39/095

A61K39/155

A61K39/15

A61K39/102 C12N15/63

A61K39/106 C12N5/10

A61K39/245 //C07K14/28

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61K C07K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
WO 97 29771 A (PIZZA MARIAGRAZIA ;RAPPUOLI RINO (IT); CHIRON SPA (IT); FONTANA MA) 21 August 1997 (1997-08-21) page 4, line 1-25 page 5, line 19,20 page 42, line 5 -page 47, line 20	1,2,4-27		
Claims 1,0-0,15	1-27		
GLINEUR C ET AL: "Importance of ADP-ribosylation in the morphological changes of PC12 cells induced by cholera toxin." INFECTION AND IMMUNITY, (1994 OCT) 62 (10) 4176-85., XP002129167 abstract	1-27		
	WO 97 29771 A (PIZZA MARIAGRAZIA; RAPPUOLI RINO (IT); CHIRON SPA (IT); FONTANA MA) 21 August 1997 (1997-08-21) page 4, line 1-25 page 5, line 19,20 page 42, line 5 -page 47, line 20 claims 1,6-8,15 —— GLINEUR C ET AL: "Importance of ADP-ribosylation in the morphological changes of PC12 cells induced by cholera toxin." INFECTION AND IMMUNITY, (1994 OCT) 62 (10) 4176-85., XP002129167 abstract		

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed in annex.
*Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
1 February 2000	14/02/2000
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Covone, M

3

INTE * NAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US 99/22520

0.40	No. of Doguments Considerate Constitution of the Constitution of t	PC1/US 99/22520
C.(Continu	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	YAMAMOTO S ET AL: "Mutants in the ADP-ribosyltransferase cleft of cholera toxin lack diarrheagenicity but retain adjuvanticity." JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL MEDICINE, (1997 APR 7) 185 (7) 1203-10., XP002129168 abstract tables 1,2 page 1209, right-hand column, paragraph 2	1,2,13, 15-17, 24-27
X	WO 97 02348 A (BIOCINE SPA; PIZZA MARIAGRAZIA (IT); FONTANA MARIA RITA (IT); GIAN) 23 January 1997 (1997-01-23) page 8, line 31 -page 9, line 2 page 11, line 3-6 page 49, line 24 -page 50, line 7 claims 5-7,14	1,2,13, 15-17, 24-27
A	RICHARDS C M ET AL: "Enhancement of the immune response to non-replicating herpes simplex virus type-1 preparations by mucosal administration in the presence of cholera toxin" VACCINE,GB,BUTTERWORTH SCIENTIFIC. GUILDFORD, vol. 15, no. 10, 1 July 1997 (1997-07-01), pages 1065-1069, XP004085964 ISSN: 0264-410X abstract	4,11,12,
A .	NEDRUD J. ET AL: "Oral immunization against respiratory viruses in mice." ADVANCES IN EXPERIMENTAL MEDICINE AND BIOLOGY, (1995) 371/B (1595-1598). , XP000867733 figure 1	4,8,21
4	WO 97 05267 A (MAXIM PHARMACEUTICALS) 13 February 1997 (1997-02-13) page 3, line 13 -page 5, line 24	11,12
P,X	WO 98 45324 A (UAB RESEARCH FOUNDATION; KIYONO HIROSHI (US); MCGHEE JERRY R (US);) 15 October 1998 (1998-10-15) page 4, line 6 -page 5, line 15 claims /	1-27

3

INTEL Y NAL SEARCH REPORT

In tions. plication No PCT/US 99/22520

Category 1	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
L.EyUiy	Challette, accounters, with indication, where appropriate, or the relevant passages	nelevant to claim 140.
, х	ZHU, D. ET AL: "Intragastric immunization with recombinant H. pylori urease formulated with attenuated cholera toxin elicits systemic, mucosal and protective immune responses in C57BL/6 mice." FASEB JOURNAL, (MARCH 12, 1999) VOL. 13, NO. 4 PART 1, PP. A291. MEETING INFO.: ANNUAL MEETING OF THE PROFESSIONAL RESEARCH SCIENTISTS FOR EXPERIMENTAL BIOLOGY 99 WASHINGTON, D.C., USA APRIL 17-21, 1999, XP000867558 the whole document	1-27
		·

3

INTER

JAL SEARCH REPOR

Infor....ion on patent family members

PCT/US 99/22520

Patent document cited in search repor	t	Publication date	!	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9729771	Α	21-08-1997	CA EP	2244800 0880361		21-08-1997 02-12-1998
WO 9702348	A	23-01-1997	AU EP	6238896 0835314		05-02-1997 15-04-1998
WO 9705267	Α	13-02-1997	AU EP JP	6505796 0840796 11510164	A	26-02-1997 13-05-1998 07-09-1999
WO 9845324	Α	15-10-1998	NONE			



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	3-00 F	PCT	FOR FURTUR	ED AOTION	See Notific	ation of Transmittal of International
			FOR FURTH	EH ACTION	Preliminary	Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
		pplication No.	International filing	date (day/month/ye	ear)	T
		/22520	30/09/1999		/	Priority date (day/month/year)
Internat	tional P	atent Classification (IPC) o	or national classification	and IDC		30/09/1998
A61K	39/39			and IF C		
Applicar	nt					
		CVANIANUD				
/ (IVIL)	ICAN	CYANAMID COMPA	NY et al.			
1. Thi	is inter	rnational preliminary ex	amination report has	h		
and	d is tra	insmitted to the applicar	nt according to Article	been prepared by	this Inter	national Preliminary Examining Author
			G AMAGE			
2. Thi	s REP	ORT consists of a total	Of 8 sheets include	m Albin		
						•
	This	report is also accompar	ied by ANNEXES is	sheets of the de		claims and/or drawings which have
	been (see !	amended and are the b	asis for this report ar	nd/or sheets conta	scription, ining rect	claims and/or drawings which have ifications made before this Authority
	(356)	Rule 70.16 and Section	607 of the Administra	ative Instructions (under the	PCT).
The	se anr	nexes consist of a total	Of Sheets	•		
						•
						·
						·
. This	report	contains indications ro	loting to the first			
I. This	report	contains indications re	lating to the following	items:		
. This	report ⊠	contains indications re	lating to the following	items:		
_	_	Basis of the report Priority			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	×	Basis of the report Priority				
11	⊠ □ ⊠ □	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi	opinion with regard to on	o novelty, inventive		d industrial applicability
111 11	⊠ □ ⊠ □	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement	opinion with regard to on	o novelty, inventive		
 V 		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such s	o novelty, inventive		d industrial applicability ve step or industrial applicability;
 V 		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed	o novelty, inventive h regard to novelt tatement		
I III IV V VI VII		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed	novelty, inventive h regard to novelt tatement		
 V 		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed	novelty, inventive h regard to novelt tatement		
I III IV V VI VII		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed	novelty, inventive h regard to novelt tatement		
I III IV V VI VII		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed	novelty, inventive h regard to novelt tatement		
		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i Certain observations of	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed	o novelty, inventive h regard to novelt tatement on plication	y, inventiv	e step or industrial applicability;
		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed	novelty, inventive h regard to novelt tatement	y, inventiv	e step or industrial applicability;
	M M M M Mission	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i Certain observations of	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed	o novelty, inventive th regard to novelt tatement on plication Date of completi	y, inventiv	e step or industrial applicability;
	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i Certain observations of	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed international application in the international ap	o novelty, inventive h regard to novelt tatement on plication	y, inventiv	e step or industrial applicability;
IIIIIVVVVIIIVIIII	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i Certain observations of	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed international application in the international ap	o novelty, inventive th regard to novelty tatement on plication Date of complete	y, invention	e step or industrial applicability;
IIIIIIV V VI VIII VIIII The of substitution of the substitution o	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i Certain observations of of the demand	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed international application in the international ap	o novelty, inventive th regard to novelt tatement on plication Date of completi	y, invention	e step or industrial applicability;
III IV V VI VIII te of subi	Marian Ma	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i Certain observations of of the demand	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed international application in the international ap	Date of complete 07.12.2000 Authorized office	y, invention	e step or industrial applicability;
IIIIIV V VI VIII te of subinomic for and many e	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement u citations and explanati Certain documents cit Certain defects in the i Certain observations of of the demand	opinion with regard to on inder Article 35(2) wit ons suporting such si ed international application in the international ap	o novelty, inventive th regard to novelty tatement on plication Date of complete	y, invention	e step or industrial applicability;

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/22520

ı.	Basis	of	the	repo	n

1.	resp the	onse to an invitatio	awn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in in under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).):
	1-11	7	as originally filed
	Clai	ms, No.:	
	1-27	,	as originally filed
	Dra	wings, sheets:	
	1/21	-21/21	as originally filed
		·	· -
2.			uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	se elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pu	blication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3).	ranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule
3.			leotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the y examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the in	ternational application in written form.
		filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.
			t the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in oplication as filed has been furnished.
		The statement tha listing has been fu	t the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence rnished.
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		•	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/22520

		the drawings, sheets:	
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have be considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):	en
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to to report.)	his
6.	Add	ditional observations, if necessary:	
111.	. Noi	n-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
Th or	ne qu to b	uestions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious se industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:	s),
		the entire international application.	
	×	claims Nos. 17 - 23.	
be	ecau	se:	
	Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 17 -23 with respect to industrial applicability related to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): see separate sheet	ate
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so uncle that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	ar
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opin could be formed.	nion
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos	
2	an	meaningful international preliminary examination report cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucle id/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative structions:	otid
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.	
		for the development and the standard	
	cit	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabili tations and explanations supporting such statement tatement	ty;
	No	ovelty (N) Yes: Claims 2-27	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/22520

No:

Claims 1

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: C

Claims Claims

No:

ns 1-27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

s 1-16, 24-27

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

s e separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/US99/22520 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Section III

Claims 17 - 23 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Section V

- 1. Claim 1 contains the disclaimer "has a substitution other than aspartic acid for the glutamic acid at position 29 of the A subunit of the cholera toxin". The wording chosen means that the subject-matter of claim 1 encompasses any substitution other than D29E, including substitutions at positions other than 29 of CT-A, provided that the resultant cholera holotoxin has reduced toxicity compared to the wild type but retains immunogenicity.
- 2. As antigenic compositions containing a mutant cholera holotoxin as adjuvant and which fall within the scope of claim 1 are known from D1 (WO-A-97/29771; page 4, lines 29 31; page 5, lines 19/20; page 10, lines 2 5; page 11, lines 10 13; page 45, line 16 page 46, line 24; claim 15) with respect to a P106S mutant used as adjuvant for tetanus toxin, and D2 (WO-A-97/02348; abstract; page 8, line 20 page 9, line 13; page 10, line 36 page 11, line 6; page 49, line 24 page 50, line 17; claims 4, 14), claim 1 lacks novelty and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- Moreover, the use of a cholera toxin containing the S61F or E112K mutation as a mucosal adjuvant is clearly suggested in D3 (J Exp Med 185 (1997) 1203; summary; page 1209, column 2, lines 12 - 24; Tables 1 and 2).
 - Claim 1 is thus considered to lack an inventive step over the disclosure of D3 (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 4. Dependent claims 2 16 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/US99/22520 **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

Claim 2: Mutated CT-A is itself an antigen such that this claim lacks novelty over the disclosure of D1 or D2;

Claim 3: Numerous CT-A point mutations are known to result in reduced toxicity while retaining immunogenicity (see e.g. page 4, lines 1 - 12 of D1). The choice of the particular E29H mutation would thus not appear to be inventive as the skilled person would be motivated to look for other "non-toxic, immunogenic" mutations in addition to those already known. Moreover, position 29 is a known mutation site (see Infect Immun 62 (1994) 4176; abstract));

Claims 4 - 12: The choice of a particular antigen against which antibodies are to be raised cannot render an obvious adjuvant inventive;

Claims 13, 14: The skilled person would regard it as a normal option to include the further features of these claims;

Claims 15, 16: Double CT-A mutants are known in the art (see e.g. D1, pages 4, lines 1 - 18; D2 and D3).

- The use of the mutant CT-A of any of claims 1 15 as an adjuvant is considered 5. to lack an inventive step over the disclosures of D1 - D3, for the reasons set out in points 1 - 4 above. Method claims 17 - 23 and use claim 27 therefore do not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- A plasmid containing a known promoter together with a sequence encoding the 6. mutant CT-A of claim 1, a host cell transformed, transduced or transfected with the plasmid and the method of preparing the mutant cholera holotoxin using the said plasmid and host cells all lack inventive step over the disclosures of D1 - D3 for the reasons set out above in the light of the further disclosures in D1 - D3 on e.g. page 19, line 20 - page 41, line 3 of D1; page 22, line 5 - page 44, line 27 of D2; D3: "Materials and Methods".

Claims 24 - 26 therefore do not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

7. For the assessment of the present claims 17 - 23 on the question whether they **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Section VI

Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

Application No Patent No

Publication date (day/month/year).

Filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

WO-A-98/45324

15.10.1998

03.04.1998

04.04.1997*

Validity not verified

Section VII

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 - D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Section VIII

- At least claims 1 and 2 and the claims which refer to these claims lack clarity 1. (Article 6 PCT), as they contain a disclaimer (see Section V, point 1), although the subject-matter which is both sufficiently disclosed and supported by the description (see point 2 below) can be defined more clearly and concisely in terms of positive features (see also the PCT Guidelines Ch. III-4.12).
- 2. Claims 1,2 and 4 - 24 are not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT, as their scope is broader than justified by the description and drawings. The reasons therefor are the following:

The sole concrete experimental disclosure in the application as filed is with respect to the E29H mutation. In fact, all of the examples refer to this mutant only (page 8, line 19 - page 9, line 19; page 10, line 13 - page 36, line 10; page 42, lines 3 - 30 and all Examples and Tables).

Claims 1, 2 and 4 - 24 relate to any possible CT-A point mutation at any possible 3. position, or any combination thereof, provided none of these mutations are E29D. There is, however, no evidence in the application to show that all of these possibilities both retain immunogenicity/adjuvanticity and have reduced toxicity. Moreover, it is exceedingly unlikely that this would be the case, given that a CT-A having all of its amino acids mutated is also included in the scope of the "mutant cholera holotoxin" (see also the wording of claim 15 in this context).

These claims thus do not meet the requirements of Article 5 PCT over their whole scope.



LATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report				
33383-00 PCT	ACTION (Form PCT/ISA/2	220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US 99/22520	30/09/1999	30/09/1998			
Applicant .		<u> </u>			
AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY	et al.				
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Aut	hority and is transmitted to the applicant			
according to Afficie to. A copy is being to	ansmitted to the international bureau.				
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sheets.				
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.			
4 Posla state consul					
Basis of the report With repard to the language, the	international search was carried out on the bar	nic of the international application in the			
language in which it was filed, unl	ess otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the			
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of t	he international application furnished to this			
b. With regard to any nucleotide an	d/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the ir	sternational application, the international search			
was carried out on the basis of the	e sequence listing : mal application in written form.				
. =	rnational application in computer readable for	n.			
=	this Authority in written form.	···			
<u> </u>	this Authority in computer readble form.				
the statement that the sub- international application a	sequently furnished written sequence listing d s filed has been furnished.	oes not go beyond the disclosure in the			
the statement that the info furnished	ormation recorded in computer readable form is	s identical to the written sequence listing has been			
2. X Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box I).				
3. Unity of invention is laci	king (see Box II).				
A Military and an Abra Mala					
4. With regard to the title, The text is approved as suited.	hmitted by the applicant				
	hed by this Authority to read as follows:				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
5. With regard to the abstract,	·				
the text is approved as sui					
within one month from the	ned, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorit date of mailing of this international search rep	y as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, ort, submit comments to this Authority.			
6. The figure of the drawings to be publi	shed with the abstract is Figure No.				
as suggested by the applic	cant.	X None of the figures.			
because the applicant faile					
because this figure better	because this figure better characterizes the invention.				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

..ernational application No.

PCT/US 99/22520

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: 17-23 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Rule 31.1 (iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human animal body by therapy
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.



ational Application No PCT/US 99/22520

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61K39/39 A61K39/095

A61K39/155

A61K39/15

A61K39/102 A61K39/106 C12N15/63

C12N5/10

A61K39/245 //C07K14/28

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A61K C07K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 97 29771 A (PIZZA MARIAGRAZIA ;RAPPUOLI RINO (IT); CHIRON SPA (IT); FONTANA MA) 21 August 1997 (1997-08-21) page 4, line 1-25 page 5, line 19,20 page 42, line 5 -page 47, line 20 claims 1,6-8,15	1,2,4-27
Υ		1-27
Υ	GLINEUR C ET AL: "Importance of ADP-ribosylation in the morphological changes of PC12 cells induced by cholera toxin." INFECTION AND IMMUNITY, (1994 OCT) 62 (10) 4176-85., XP002129167 abstract	1-27

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
1 February 2000	14/02/2000
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Covone, M



It ational Application No PCT/US 99/22520

C.(Continu	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	101/03 99/22320
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	YAMAMOTO S ET AL: "Mutants in the ADP-ribosyltransferase cleft of cholera toxin lack diarrheagenicity but retain adjuvanticity." JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL MEDICINE, (1997 APR 7) 185 (7) 1203-10., XP002129168 abstract tables 1,2 page 1209, right-hand column, paragraph 2	1,2,13, 15-17, 24-27
X	WO 97 02348 A (BIOCINE SPA ;PIZZA MARIAGRAZIA (IT); FONTANA MARIA RITA (IT); GIAN) 23 January 1997 (1997-01-23) page 8, line 31 -page 9, line 2 page 11, line 3-6 page 49, line 24 -page 50, line 7 claims 5-7,14	1,2,13, 15-17, 24-27
А	RICHARDS C M ET AL: "Enhancement of the immune response to non-replicating herpes simplex virus type-1 preparations by mucosal administration in the presence of cholera toxin" VACCINE,GB,BUTTERWORTH SCIENTIFIC. GUILDFORD, vol. 15, no. 10, 1 July 1997 (1997-07-01), pages 1065-1069, XP004085964 ISSN: 0264-410X abstract	4,11,12, 23
A	NEDRUD J. ET AL: "Oral immunization against respiratory viruses in mice." ADVANCES IN EXPERIMENTAL MEDICINE AND BIOLOGY, (1995) 371/B (1595-1598). , XP000867733 figure 1	4,8,21
A	WO 97 05267 A (MAXIM PHARMACEUTICALS) 13 February 1997 (1997-02-13) page 3, line 13 -page 5, line 24	11,12
Ρ,Χ	WO 98 45324 A (UAB RESEARCH FOUNDATION; KIYONO HIROSHI (US); MCGHEE JERRY R (US);) 15 October 1998 (1998-10-15) page 4, line 6 -page 5, line 15 claims /	1-27

3



In tional Application No PCT/US 99/22520

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
ategory '	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.	
, X	ZHU, D. ET AL: "Intragastric immunization with recombinant H. pylori urease formulated with attenuated cholera toxin elicits systemic, mucosal and protective immune responses in C57BL/6 mice." FASEB JOURNAL, (MARCH 12, 1999) VOL. 13, NO. 4 PART 1, PP. A291. MEETING INFO.: ANNUAL MEETING OF THE PROFESSIONAL RESEARCH SCIENTISTS FOR EXPERIMENTAL BIOLOGY 99 WASHINGTON, D.C., USA APRIL 17-21, 1999, XP000867558 the whole document	1-27		
	· ·			
		÷		
		:		
			-	
	•			
			•	
- 1				

_0

Infor.....on on patent family members

Int ional Application No PCT/US 99/22520

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
WO 9729771	Α	21-08-1997	CA EP	2244800 0880361		21-08-1997 02-12-1998
WO 9702348	Α	23-01-1997	AU EP	6238896 0835314	• •	05-02-1997 15-04-1998
WO 9705267	A	13-02-1997	AU EP JP	6505796 0840796 11510164	Ä	26-02-1997 13-05-1998 07-09-1999
WO 9845324	Α	15-10-1998	NONE			, ·